WHITNEY EXTENSION THM (1934)

RECALL NOTATION

$F \in C^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \Longrightarrow$

$J_x(F)$ = m^{th} degree Taylor poly of F at x

$$J_x(F)(y) = \sum_{|\alpha| \leq m} \frac{1}{\alpha!} \partial^\alpha F(x) \cdot (y-x)^\alpha.$$

So

$J_x(F) \in \mathcal{P}$

$\mathcal{P} = \left[\begin{array}{l} \text{VECTOR SPACE OF ALL (REAL-VALUED)} \\ \text{POLYS OF DEGREE} \leq m \text{ on } \mathbb{R}^n \end{array} \right]$

$$C^m(\mathbb{R}^n) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{SPACE OF REAL-VALUED} \\ \text{FNS ON } \mathbb{R}^n \\ \text{WHOSE DERIVATIVES} \\ \text{UP TO ORDER } m \\ \text{ARE CONTINUOUS} \\ \text{AND BOUNDED} \end{array} \right\}$$

$$\|F\|_{C^m(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n} \max_{|\alpha| \leq m} |\partial^\alpha F(x)|.$$

A <u>WHITNEY FIELD</u>

IS A FAMILY

$$\vec{P} = (P^x)_{x \in E}$$

of POLYNOMIALS $P^x \in \mathcal{P}$,

INDEXED BY THE POINTS $x \in E$,

WHERE E IS A SUBSET OF $\mathbb{R}^n$.

WHITNEY'S QUESTION:

GIVEN A WHITNEY FIELD

$$\vec{P} = (P^x)_{x \in E}$$

WITH $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ COMPACT.

HOW CAN WE DECIDE WHETHER THERE EXISTS $F \in C^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ SUCH THAT

$$J_x(F) = P^x \text{ FOR ALL } x \in E \ ?$$

COMPARE WITH QUESTION FROM TALK I :

GIVEN A FUNCTION $f : E \to \mathbb{R}$,

HOW CAN WE DECIDE WHETHER

THERE EXISTS $F \in C^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$

SUCH THAT

$F(x) = f(x)$ FOR ALL $x \in E$.

WHITNEY'S THM (1rst VERSION)

For a Whitney field $\vec{P} = (P^x)_{x \in E}$, E compact, the following are equivalent:

(A) There exists $F \in C^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ s.t. $J_x(F) = P^x$ for all $x \in E$.

(B) For each multi-index α $(|\alpha| \leq m)$, we have

$$|\partial^\alpha (P^x - P^y)(x)| = o\left(|x-y|^{m-|\alpha|}\right)$$

as $|x-y| \to 0$, $x, y \in E$.

MORE PRECISE VERSION

Let $\vec{P} = (P^x)_{x \in E}$ be a Whitney fld.

Let M be a non-negative real number.

Suppose:

(A) $|\partial^\alpha P^x(x)| \leq M$ for all $|\alpha| \leq m$, $x \in E$.

(B) $|\partial^\alpha (P^x - P^y)(x)| \leq M |x-y|^{m-|\alpha|}$

for all $|\alpha| \leq m-1$, $x, y \in E$.

(C) $|\partial^\alpha (P^x - P^y)(x)| = o\left(|x-y|^{m-|\alpha|}\right)$

as $|x-y| \to 0$ $(x, y \in E)$ for each $|\alpha| \leq m$.

Then $\exists\, F \in C^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ s.t.

- $J_x(F) = P^x$ (all $x \in E$)
- $\|F\|_{C^m(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq CM$

DEPENDS ONLY ON m, n

Thus, WE CAN COMPUTE THE LEAST POSSIBLE (inf) C^m NORM OF A FUNCTION F that agrees with a given Whitney field $\vec{P} = (P^x)_{x \in E}$ UP TO A CONST FACTOR DEPENDING ONLY ON m, n.

FOR $C^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$

(WITH A BETTER CHOICE OF THE C^2 NORM),

LEGRUYER & WELLS

FOUND AN <u>EXACT FORMULA</u>

for the least possible norm!

WHITNEY'S PROOF GIVES AN EXPLICIT FORMULA FOR F.

F DEPENDS LINEARLY ON $\vec{P}$

IF $\vec{P} = (P^x)_{x \in E}$, THEN

FOR ANY GIVEN POINT $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$F(y)$ IS DETERMINED ENTIRELY

BY $P^{x_1}, \cdots, P^{x_k}$.

- $K \leq C$ — DEPENDS ONLY ON m, n
- $x_1, \cdots, x_k$ DEPEND ON y BUT NOT ON $\vec{P}$.

$$\vec{P} = (P^x)_{x \in E} \quad , \quad F = T\vec{P} \in C^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$$

$$\Longrightarrow$$

$$\boxed{F(y) = \sum_{k=1}^{K} \lambda_k (P^{x_k})}$$

$\lambda_k : \mathcal{P} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ ARE LINEAR FUNCTIONALS

$x_1, \dots, x_K \in E$

$K \leq C$

The λ_k and x_k DEPEND ON y, BUT NOT ON $\vec{P}$.

$\vec{P} \longmapsto F$ HAS "BOUNDED DEPTH"

SKETCH OF WHITNEY'S PROOF

MAIN STEPS

- WHITNEY CUBES
- WHITNEY PARTITION OF UNITY
- THE EXTENSION F
- CHECK THAT IT WORKS

PREPARE TO DEFINE WHITNEY CUBES





- $\delta_{AQ} = \delta_Q$

- AQ & Q HAVE SAME CENTER

BISECTING A CUBE



CONSTRUCTING WHITNEY CUBES

Given $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

Start with a big cube Q^0

containing E in its middle half



(SAY $\delta_{Q^0} = 1024$)

CONSTRUCTION OF THE WHITNEY CUBES

PROCEEDS IN STEPS:

STEP 0,

STEP 1,

STEP 2,

⋮

AT EACH STEP i

WE PRODUCE A PARTITION

OF Q^0 INTO FINITELY

MANY CUBES.

THE PARTITION AT STEP 0

CONSISTS OF THE SINGLE

CUBE Q^0.

THE PARTITION IN STEP $(i+1)$ REFINES THE PARTITION IN STEP i.

TO PRODUCE THE PARTITION OF STEP $(i+1)$, WE BISECT SOME OF THE CUBES FROM THE PARTITION IN STEP i

RULE:

LET Q BE A CUBE OF THE STEP i PARTITION.

- IF $3Q \cap E = \emptyset$, THEN WE INCLUDE Q IN THE STEP $(i+1)$ PARTITION, AND WE CALL Q A

$\boxed{\text{WHITNEY CUBE}}$

- IF $3Q \cap E \neq \emptyset$,

THEN WE BISECT Q

INTO ITS CHILDREN

$Q_1, Q_2, \ldots, Q_{2^n}$,

AND INCLUDE THOSE

CHILDREN (BUT NOT Q)

IN THE STEP $(i+1)$

PARTITION.



Q ARISES AT STEP i

$3Q \cap E = \phi$

$\Longrightarrow$ Q SURVIVES, AND

APPEARS AT STEP $i+1$

$\boxed{\text{THIS Q IS A WHITNEY CUBE}}$



STEP i



STEP $i+1$

Q ARISES AT STEP i,

$3Q \cap E \neq \phi \Longrightarrow$

AT STEP $(i+1)$, Q IS REPLACED BY ITS 4 CHILDREN $Q_1, \cdots, Q_4$.

BASIC PROPERTIES OF WHITNEY CUBES

- THE WHITNEY CUBES FORM A PARTITION OF $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus E$.

- THE DIAMETER OF ANY WHITNEY CUBE IS COMPARABLE TO ITS DISTANCE FROM E.


WHITNEY CUBES
E
Q°

PROOF :

Let Q = Whitney cube.

Q arose as a child of a cube Q^+.

We decided to retain Q,

but we decided <u>not</u> to retain Q^+.

So $3Q \cap E = \emptyset$

but $3Q^+ \cap E \neq \emptyset$.


Q^+
Q
$3Q$
$3Q^+$
E

- IF TWO WHITNEY CUBES Q & Q' TOUCH, THEN δ_Q & $\delta_{Q'}$ ARE COMPARABLE,

$$\frac{1}{2}\delta_Q \leq \delta_{Q'} \leq 2\delta_Q .$$

- ANY GIVEN WHITNEY CUBE Q TOUCHES AT MOST A BOUNDED NUMBER OF OTHER WHITNEY CUBES Q'.

WE HAVE DEFINED THE WHITNEY CUBES.

NEXT, WE DEFINE THE WHITNEY PARTITION OF UNITY

FOR EACH WHITNEY CUBE Q,

FIX A SMOOTH FUNCTION $\tilde{\theta}_Q$

ON $\mathbb{R}^n$, SATISFYING:

- $\tilde{\theta}_Q \geq 0$
- $\tilde{\theta}_Q = 1$ on Q
- $\tilde{\theta}_Q$ SUPPORTED IN $(1.01)Q$
- $|\partial^\alpha \tilde{\theta}_Q| \leq C \delta_Q^{-|\alpha|}$ FOR $|\alpha| \leq m$

Let $\psi = \sum_{Q'} \tilde{\theta}_{Q'}$, WHERE Q'

VARIES OVER ALL THE WHITNEY CUBES.

If $x \in \operatorname{supp}(\tilde{\theta}_{Q'})$, then $\delta_{Q'} \sim \operatorname{dist}(x, E)$.

In particular, $x \in \operatorname{supp}(\tilde{\theta}_{Q'})$ for at most C distinct Whitney cubes Q'.

Therefore, ψ has the following properties.

$$\psi = \sum_{Q'} \tilde{\theta}_{Q'}$$

- $\psi \geq 1$ on $\mathbb{R}^n \setminus E$
- $\psi = 0$ on E
- $|\partial^\alpha \psi(x)| \leq C\left[\operatorname{dist}(x, E)\right]^{-|\alpha|}$

  For $|\alpha| \leq m$, $x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus E$.

- $|\partial^\alpha \psi(x)| \leq C\, \delta_Q^{-|\alpha|}$ on $\operatorname{supp}(\tilde{\theta}_Q)$.
- $\psi(x) \geq 1$ on ~~$\operatorname{supp}(\tilde{\theta}_Q)$~~ Q.

NOW, FOR EACH WHITNEY CUBE Q,

WE SET

$$\theta_Q = \frac{\tilde{\theta}_Q}{\psi} = \frac{\tilde{\theta}_Q}{\sum_{Q'} \tilde{\theta}_{Q'}} \quad \underline{\underline{\text{on } Q^\circ}}$$

THUS,

$$\sum_Q \theta_Q = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{for } x \in Q^\circ - E \\ 0 & \text{for } x \in E \end{cases}$$

THIS IS THE

<u>WHITNEY PARTITION OF UNITY</u>

FROM THE PROPERTIES OF

$\tilde{\theta}_Q$, ψ, WE EASILY DERIVE

THE BASIC PROPERTIES OF

THE θ_Q.

BASIC PROPERTIES OF θ_Q

- $$\sum_Q \theta_Q(x) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{FOR } x \in \mathbb{R}^n \setminus E \\ 0 & \text{FOR } x \in E \end{cases}$$

FOR EACH WHITNEY CUBE Q,

- $\operatorname{supp}(\theta_Q) \subset (1.01)\, Q$

and

- $|\partial^\alpha \theta_Q(x)| \leq C \delta_Q^{-|\alpha|}$ FOR ALL $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$, $|\alpha| \leq m$.

WE HAVE NOW DEFINED THE

WHITNEY CUBES

and the

WHITNEY PARTITION OF UNITY.

NOW WE CAN DEFINE

THE FUNCTION F.

FOR EACH WHITNEY CUBE Q,

WE PICK A POINT $x(Q) \in E$

AS CLOSE AS POSSIBLE TO E.

RECALL, WE ARE GIVEN A

WHITNEY FIELD

$$\vec{P} = (P^x)_{x \in E}.$$

WE WANT A FUNCTION $F \in C^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$

s.t.

$$J_x(F) = \vec{P}^x \text{ FOR EACH } x \in E.$$

WILL DEFINE F ON Q°

DEFINE

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} \sum_{Q} \theta_Q(x)\, P^{x(Q)}(x) & \text{FOR } x \in \mathbb{R}^Q \setminus E \\ P^x(x) & \text{FOR } x \in E \end{cases}$$

NOTE: F DEPENDS LINEARLY ON $\vec{P} = (P^x)_{x \in E}$ IN A VERY SIMPLE WAY.

The map $\vec{P} \longmapsto F$ is a linear map of bounded depth.

NOW WE HAVE DEFINED THE FUNCTION F

MUST SHOW

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{c} \text{HYPOTHESES} \\ \text{OF} \\ \text{WHITNEY'S THM} \end{array} \right\} \Longrightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} F \in C^m(\mathbb{Q}^0) \\ J_x(F) = P^x \quad (x \in E) \\ \|F\|_{C^m(\mathbb{Q}^0)} \leq CM \end{array} \right.$$

RECALL HYPOTHESES:

- $|\partial^\alpha P^x_{(x)}| \leq M$ (all $x \in E$, $|\alpha| \leq m$)

- $|\partial^\alpha (P^x - P^y)(x)| \leq M|x-y|^{m-|\alpha|}$

  ($x, y \in E$, $|\alpha| \leq m-1$)

- $|\partial^\alpha (P^x - P^y)(x)| = o\left(|x-y|^{m-|\alpha|}\right)$

  as $|x-y| \to 0$, $x, y \in E$, $|\alpha| \leq m$.

KEY IDEA

Let $x \in \mathbb{R}^0 \setminus E$.

Say $x \in \hat{Q}$ (WHITNEY CUBE).

Then in a nbd. of x, write

$$F = \sum_Q \theta_Q P^{x(Q)}$$

$$= P^{x(\hat{Q})} + \sum_Q \theta_Q \cdot \left[P^{x(Q)} - P^{x(\hat{Q})} \right].$$

TO SHOW THAT

$$\|F\|_{C^m(Q^\circ)} \leq CM,$$

IT WILL BE ENOUGH

TO SHOW THAT

$$\boxed{\left|\partial^\alpha\left\{\theta_Q \cdot \left[P^{x(Q)} - P^{x(\hat{Q})}\right]\right\}(x)\right| \leq CM}$$

FOR $\operatorname{supp}\theta_Q \ni x$.

CRUCIAL POINT:

$$|x(Q) - x(\hat{Q})| \leq C\delta_{\hat{Q}}$$

AND

$$\frac{1}{2}\delta_{\hat{Q}} \leq \delta_Q \leq 2\delta_{\hat{Q}}$$

WHEN $\operatorname{supp}\theta_Q \ni x$.


$\hat{Q}$
Q
x


$x(\hat{Q})$
$x(Q)$
E

TO ESTIMATE

$$\partial^\alpha \left\{ \theta_Q \cdot \left[P^{x(Q)} - P^{x(\hat{Q})} \right] \right\}(x)$$

WE USE THE ESTIMATES

$$\boxed{\left| \partial^\beta \theta_Q(x) \right| \leq C \delta_Q^{-|\beta|} \leq C' \delta_{\hat{Q}}^{-|\beta|} \quad \text{for } |\beta| \leq m}$$

AND

$$\boxed{\left| \partial^\beta \left[P^{x(Q)} - P^{x(\hat{Q})} \right](x) \right| \leq C M \delta_{\hat{Q}}^{m-|\beta|} \quad \text{for } |\beta| \leq m.}$$

$$\partial^\alpha \{ \theta_Q \cdot [P^{x(Q)} - P^{x(\hat{Q})}] \} (x)$$

IS A SUM (OVER $\beta + \gamma = \alpha$)

OF TERMS

$$\underbrace{[\partial^\beta \theta_Q(x)]}_{\text{DOMINATED BY } \delta_{\hat{Q}}^{-|\beta|}} \cdot \underbrace{[\partial^\gamma (P^{x(Q)} - P^{x(\hat{Q})})(x)]}_{\text{DOMINATED BY } M \delta_{\hat{Q}}^{m-|\gamma|}}$$

PRODUCT $\lesssim M \delta_{\hat{Q}}^{m-|\alpha|}$

RECALL,

$$\hat{Q} \subset Q^0 \longleftarrow \text{SIDELENGTH } 1024$$

SO $\delta_{\hat{Q}} \leq 1024.$

SO, FOR $|\alpha| \leq m$, WE HAVE SHOWN THAT

$$\left| \partial^\alpha \left\{ \theta_Q \cdot \left[P^{x(Q)} - P^{x(\hat{Q})} \right] \right\}(x) \right| \leq CM.$$

THEREFORE,

$$\| F \|_{C^m(Q^0)} \leq CM.$$

THOSE ARE THE MAIN IDEAS IN THE PROOF OF THE WHITNEY EXTENSION THEOREM.

WHITNEY'S THEOREM TELLS US WHEN THERE EXISTS $F \in C^m$ THAT AGREES WITH A GIVEN WHITNEY FIELD ON E.

WE REALLY WANT TO KNOW WHETHER THERE EXISTS $F \in C^m$ THAT AGREES WITH A GIVEN FUNCTION ON E.

Although WHITNEY'S THM

ANSWERS AN EASIER VARIANT

OF THE "REAL" PROBLEM,

BOTH THE THEOREM AND

ITS PROOF CONTAIN IMPORTANT

LESSONS FOR US

(& for ANALYSIS).

LESSONS FROM THE PROOF OF WHITNEY'S THEOREM

LESSON 1

- WE WILL BE INTERESTED IN PRODUCTS OF THE FORM

$$[\text{FACTOR } 1] \cdot [\text{FACTOR } 2]$$

WHERE

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} &|\partial^\beta(\text{FACTOR } 1)(x)| \leq \delta^{-|\beta|} \\ &\text{and} \\ &|\partial^\beta(\text{FACTOR } 2)(x)| \leq \delta^{m-|\beta|} \\ &\text{for } |\beta| \leq m. \end{aligned}}$$

LESSON 2 :

WHITNEY'S THEOREM FOR FINITE SETS

GIVEN $M \geq 0$, $\vec{P} = (P^x)_{x \in E}$,

E <u>FINITE</u>. ASSUME:

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} &|\partial^\alpha P^x(x)| \leq M \quad \text{FOR ALL } x \in E,\ |\alpha| \leq m. \\ &|\partial^\alpha (P^x - P^y)(x)| \leq M|x-y|^{m-|\alpha|}, \quad \text{ALL } x, y \in E,\ |\alpha| \leq m-1. \end{aligned}}$$

THEN THERE EXISTS

$F \in C^m(\mathbb{R}^n)$ WITH NORM

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} &\|F\|_{C^m(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq CM, \quad \text{SUCH THAT} \\ &J_x(F) = P^x \quad \text{FOR ALL } x \in E \end{aligned}}$$

NOTE: TO DECIDE WHETHER

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} &|\partial^\alpha P^x_{(x)}| \le M \\ &\text{and} \\ &|\partial^\alpha (P^x - P^y)_{(x)}| \le M\,|x-y|^{m-|\alpha|}, \end{aligned}}$$

WE MAY EXAMINE THE QUADRATIC FORM

$$\boxed{\begin{aligned} Q(\vec{P}) = &\sum_{x\in E}\sum_{|\alpha|\le m} \left(\partial^\alpha P^x_{(x)}\right)^2 + \\ &\sum_{\substack{x,y\in E\\(x\neq y)}}\sum_{|\alpha|\le m-1}\left(\frac{\partial^\alpha (P^x - P^y)_{(x)}}{|x-y|^{m-|\alpha|}}\right)^2. \end{aligned}}$$

THE QUADRATIC FORM

$$Q(\vec{P})$$

IS A USEFUL IDEA

WHEN $\#(E) \leq C$,

BUT NOT WHEN $\#(E)$

IS ARBITRARILY LARGE.

APPLICATION

LET $f : E \to \mathbb{R}$, $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ FINITE.

DEFINE

$$\|f\|_E = \boxed{\begin{array}{l} \text{INF OF } \|F\|_{C^m(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\ \text{OVER ALL } F \in C^m(\mathbb{R}^n) \\ \text{SUCH THAT } F = f \text{ on } E. \end{array}}$$

<u>PROBLEM</u>:

COMPUTE THE ORDER OF MAGNITUDE OF

$\|f\|_E$.

SOLUTION IN CASE $\#(E) \leq C$

$\|f\|_E^2$ IS COMPARABLE TO

THE MIN OF THE QUADRATIC FORM

$Q(\vec{P})$

OVER ALL $\vec{P} = (P^x)_{x \in E}$

SUCH THAT $P^x(x) = f(x)$ (ALL $x \in E$).

That's immediate from

Whitney's Thm for finite sets.

SO, COMPUTING THE ORDER OF MAGNITUDE OF $\|f\|_E$

WHEN $\#(E) \leq C$

IS REDUCED TO LINEAR ALGEBRA.

(& the matrices are of bounded size.)

LESSON 3

THE CALDERÓN-ZYGMUND DECOMPOSITION

START WITH A UNIT CUBE

$Q^0 \subset \mathbb{R}^n$.

IF WE LIKE IT, THEN KEEP IT;

IF WE DON'T LIKE IT,

THEN BISECT IT INTO

SUBCUBES $Q_1, \cdots, Q_{2^n}$,

& EXAMINE EACH OF

THOSE IN TURN.

TO EXAMINE A CUBE Q,

WE ASK:

DO WE LIKE Q?

- IF SO, THEN WE KEEP Q.
- IF NOT, THEN WE BISECT Q

INTO 2^n SUBCUBES, AND

EXAMINE EACH OF

THOSE SUBCUBES.

STARTING WITH Q^0,

AND REPEATEDLY BISECTING

AS PRESCRIBED ABOVE,

WE ARRIVE AT A COLLECTION

OF PAIRWISE DISJOINT

SUBCUBES OF Q^0.

THEY ARE THE

CALDERÓN-ZYGMUND CUBES

HOW DO WE DECIDE WHETHER WE LIKE A CUBE Q?

WHITNEY (1934): We like Q if

$$3Q \cap E = \phi.$$

CALDERÓN & ZYGMUND (1950's; see also MARCINKIEWICZ, 1930's)

We like Q if

$$\frac{1}{\mathrm{vol}(Q)} \int_Q |f(x)|\, dx > \lambda$$

for given fn. f & number λ.

$\boxed{\text{We can give any rule we please.}}$

For each Calderón - Zygmund cube Q,

we know that

$\boxed{\text{WE LIKE } Q,}$

but

Q ARISES AS A CHILD OF ANOTHER CUBE Q^+, AND WE DON'T LIKE Q^+.

THE CALDERÓN-ZYGMUND CUBES ARE PAIRWISE DISJOINT.

IF WE LIKE EVERY SUFFICIENTLY SMALL CUBE, THEN THE CALDERÓN-ZYGMUND CUBES PARTITION

Q^0.



THE CALDERÓN-ZYGMUND DECOMPOSITION IS A VERY IMPORTANT IDEA, WITH MANY APPLICATIONS.

WE WILL USE A PARTICULAR C-Z DECOMPOSITION TO PROVE OUR MAIN RESULTS.

AN APPLICATION OF THE WHITNEY CUBES

WE WILL CONSTRUCT THE "WELL-SEPARATED PAIRS" DECOMPOSITION FROM TALK 1

RECALL:

GIVEN $E \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, $N = \#(E) < \infty$.

WANT TO PARTITION

$E \times E \setminus$ DIAGONAL

INTO CARTESIAN PRODUCTS

$E_\nu' \times E_\nu''$ $(\nu = 1, 2, \cdots, \nu_{MAX})$

WITH

$\nu_{MAX} \leq CN$

$$\operatorname{diam}(E_\nu') + \operatorname{diam}(E_\nu'') \leq 10^{-3} \cdot \operatorname{dist}(E_\nu', E_\nu'').$$

CONSTRUCTION OF THE WELL-SEPARATED PAIRS DECOMPOSITION

LET $Q^0 \supset E$ BE A LARGE CUBE.

WE PERFORM A WHITNEY DECOMPOSITION OF

$Q^0 \times Q^0 \setminus$ DIAGONAL

WE START WITH THE CUBE $Q^0 \times Q^0$, AND WE STOP BISECTING THE CUBE $Q' \times Q''$ WHENEVER

$$\operatorname{diam}(Q') + \operatorname{diam}(Q'') \leq 10^{-3} \operatorname{dist}(Q', Q'')$$

Let $Q_\nu' \times Q_\nu''$ $(\nu = 1, \cdots, \nu_{MAX})$ be the Whitney cubes that intersect $E \times E$.

Our Cartesian products $E_\nu' \times E_\nu''$ are simply the sets $(E \times E) \cap (Q_\nu' \times Q_\nu'')$.


Q^0
WHITNEY CUBE
DIAG
$E \times E$
Q^0
E
E

These $E_\nu' \times E_\nu''$ ARE CLEARLY WELL-SEPARATED.

ONE CAN SHOW THAT ν_{MAX} (the NUMBER OF DISTINCT $E_\nu' \times E_\nu''$) IS AT MOST $C \cdot N$

(WE WON'T DO THAT IN THESE TALKS)

CALLAHAN & KOSARAJU

GIVE A SIMPLE,

ELEGANT, EFFICIENT

ALGORITHM TO

<u>COMPUTE</u> A

WELL-SEPARATED PAIRS

DECOMPOSITION.

WE WON'T DO THAT IN

THESE TALKS.

I HOPE THIS CONVEYS SOME HINT OF THE POWER OF THE WHITNEY / CALDERÓN-ZYGMUND DECOMPOSITION.

WE'LL SEE MORE IN THE LATER TALKS.